

REMARKS

The present Amendment cancels claims 1-13 and adds new claims 14-24.

Therefore, the present application has pending claims 14-24.

The drawings stand objected to being that the Examiner alleges that the drawings includes an element having a reference numeral 31 that was not described in the specification. Element 31 as illustrated in the drawings corresponds to the wall paper and such was described in the specification. Amendments were made to the specification to add the reference numeral 31 corresponding to the wall paper therein. Entry of these amendments is respectfully requested. Therefore, this objection to the drawing is overcome and should be withdrawn.

The Abstract stands objected to being that the Examiner alleges that the Abstract is in two paragraphs longer than permitted. Amendments were made to the Abstract to eliminate the two paragraphs and to bring its length into conformity with the requirements as set forth in MPEP §608.01(b). Therefore, this objection is overcome and should be withdrawn.

The title of the invention stands objected to as not being descriptive of the present application. The title of the present invention was changed to "POCKET TELEPHONE USER INTERFACE FEATURING ENLARGING AND DISPLAYING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OF SELECTED ICON" which Applicants submit is descriptive of the present invention. Therefore, Applicants submit that this objection is overcome and should be withdrawn.

Claims 8, 10, 11 and 12 stand rejected under 35 USC §112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim

the subject matter which Applicants regards as the invention. As indicated above, claims 8, 10, 11 and 12 were canceled. Therefore, this rejection is rendered moot. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claim 2 stands rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishigaki (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0029193) in view of Fujiwara (U.S. Patent No. 6,540,365 B1); claims 5, 6, and 13 stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishigaki in view of Fujiwara and further in view of Blanchard (U.S. Patent No. 6,415,164 B1); claim 7 stands rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishigaki in view of Fujiwara and further in view of Singh (U.S. Patent No. 6,389,278 B1); claim 8 stands rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishigaki in view of Fujiwara and further in view of Castell (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0098831 A1); claims 9 and 10 stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishigaki in view of Fujiwara and further in view of Beaton (U.S. Patent No. 6,608,637 B1) and Wolff (U.S. Patent No. 5,774,887 A1); and claims 11 and 12 stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishigaki, Fujiwara, Beaton and Wolff and Jambhekar (U.S. Patent No. 6,430,405 B1). As indicated above, claims 1-13 were canceled. Therefore, these rejections are rendered moot. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of these rejections is respectfully requested.

It should be noted that the cancellation of claims 1-13 was not intended nor should it be considered as an agreement on Applicants part that the features recited in claims 1-13 are taught or suggested by any of the references of record particularly

Ishigaki, Fujiwara, Blanchard, Singh, Castell, Beaton, Wolff or Jambhekar whether taken individually or in combination with each other as suggested by the Examiner. The cancellation of claims 1-13 was simply intended to expedite prosecution of the present application.

New claims 14-24 are directed to features of the present invention that are not taught or suggested by any of the references of record whether taken individually or in combination with each other. Particularly, new claims 14-24 are directed to an embodiment of the invention as illustrated in Fig. 6a-c of the present application. Therein, according to the present invention a pocket telephone having a display screen on which a plurality of icons of a main menu are displayed. According to the present invention various functions in the telephone can be implemented by selecting any one of the icons.

Unique according to the present invention is that all of the icons are displayed on the display screen and when one of the icons is selected, the selected icon is shown larger than the other icons, and the icons not selected are displayed without being overlapped by the enlarged selected icon by either reducing the size of the icons not selected or shifting their location on the display screen relative to the enlarged selected icons. These features of the present invention are described, for example, on page 30, line 3 through page 31, line 2 of the present application and as such are illustrated in Figs. 6a-c.

The above described features of the present invention are not taught or suggested by any of the references of record whether taken individually or in combination with each other. Particularly, the above described features of the

present invention are not taught or suggested by Ishigaki, Fujiwara, Blanchard, Singh, Castell, Beaton, Wolff and Jambhekar whether taken individually or in combination with each other as suggested by the Examiner.

The primary reference used by the Examiner, Ishigaki fails to teach or suggest the features of the present invention as recited in the claims. Ishigaki teaches a portable telephone having a display screen which has displayed thereon a plurality of menu icons of a main menu such as that illustrated in Fig. 3 thereof. Ishigaki teaches, for example, in Figs. 4a and 8a that when one of the icons of the main menu is selected, the selected icon is enlarged in a manner so as to partially overlap the remaining icons of the main menu. As illustrated, for example, in Figs. 4a and 8a of Ishigaki when the screen icon of the main menu is selected the screen icon overlaps the remaining icons of the main menu. Thus, Ishigaki suffers from the disadvantage that upon selecting one of the icons on the display screen of a main menu the selected icon is enlarged in a manner so as to overlap the remaining icons thereby reducing the visibility of all of the menu icons to the user.

The present invention as clearly recited in the claims overcomes this disadvantage by either upon selecting and enlarging one of the icons, shifting the non-selected icons or reducing the size of the non-selected icons so that they are not overlapped by the enlarged selected icon. These features are clearly not taught or suggested by Ishigaki.

Therefore, Ishigaki fails to teach or suggest displaying the focal icon on the display surface as well as the remaining icons not focused in such a manner that the focal icon is shown larger than the remaining icons and in such a manner that at

least one part of the remaining icons are shifted or compressed so that the positional relationship of the icons is maintained and so that the focal icons and the remaining icons do not appear on the screen in an overlapped manner as recited in the claims.

The above described deficiencies of Ishigaki are also evident in each of the other references of record particularly Fujiwara, Blanchard, Singh, Castell, Beaton, Wolff and Jambhekar whether taken individually or in combination with each other or with Ishigaki. Therefore, combining the teachings of Ishigaki with one or more of the remaining references of record particularly Fujiwara, Singh, Castell, Beaton, Wolff and Jambhekar does not anticipate nor render obvious the features of the present invention as now more clearly recited in new claims 14-24.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, applicants submit that claims 14-24 are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, early allowance of claims 14-24 is respectfully requested.

To the extent necessary, the applicants petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment of fees, to the deposit account of MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C., Deposit Account No. 50-1417 (520.40487X00).

Respectfully submitted,

MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C.



Carl I. Brundidge
Registration No. 29,621

CIB/jdc
(703) 684-1120